

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/565,389	01/23/2006	Yoshitaka Sugawara	03327.2340	1931
22852 7550 07/07/2008 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			EXAMINER	
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON. DC 20001-4413			WILLIAMS, ARUN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2838	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/565,389 SUGAWARA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ARUN WILLIAMS 2838 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 February 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 January 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______

Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/565,389 Page 2

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show logic circuits, dead time generating circuits, and etc... because of the blank boxes as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing, MPEP § 608,02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/565,389 Art Unit: 2838

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okado, USPAT 4.546.422.

As for claim 1-5, Okado discloses and shows in Fig. 8 an inverter apparatus having a three-phase inverter configured to include paired transistors (applicant's switching elements) (21-26) connected in a bridge configuration and to convert a power supply voltage (col.3, lines46-63); an correction signal generator (17-19) (inverter control portion) delaying a turn-on (Fig. 11,) operation of each of said transistors (applicant's switching elements) and is generated within a predetermined time (length between t_{oic} and t_{oif}) period since turn-off (Fig. 11, t_{oif}) of said optional one of said switching elements (col.5, lines 42-49) wherein firmware (applicant's software) within the correction signal generator is implicit. Furthermore shows in Fig. 11, of a predetermined time after turn-off and turn-on.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be neadtived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/565,389

Art Unit: 2838

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148
 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okado in view of Sugawara. US2006/0186435.

Okado differs from the claimed invention because he does not explicitly disclose switching elements that are Si-GTO, SiC-GTO, and wide-gap semiconductors diamond and GaN

Sugawara discloses and shows in Figs.2&4 switching elements that are Si-GTO, SiC-GTO(20), and wide-gap semiconductors diamond and GaN (51)(pg.7-8, par.[0091,0102,0142])

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/565,389 Art Unit: 2838

Sugawara is evidence that ordinary skill in the art would find a reason, suggestion or motivation to use switching elements that are Si-GTO, SiC-GTO, and wide-pap semiconductors diamond and GaN.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Okado by using switching elements that are Si-GTO, SiC-GTO, and wide-gap semiconductors diamond and GaN for advantages such as providing low loss and controllable current with reliability(pg.1, par.[0010], as taught by Sugawara.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed 2/25/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. In response to applicants' argument that
 - Okado does not teach or suggest that correction signal generators 17-19 have "a simultaneous switching prevention function of delaying a turn-on operation of each of said switching elements
 - II. Okado cannot teach or suggest the claimed combination of elements including, for example, "switching elements" that "correspond to an electrode opposite to an electrode corresponding to said optional one of said switching elements
- The examiner respectfully submits,
- I. The recitation of "a simultaneous switching prevention function of delaying a turn-on operation of each of said switching elements' is only a statement of the inherent properties of the 'correction signal generator'. The structure recited in "Okado" is substantially identical to that of the claims, claimed properties or functions are

Application/Control Number: 10/565,389

Art Unit: 2838

presumed to be inherent. Or where the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, a *prima facie* case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established. *In re Best*, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977) and MPEP 2112.01.

II. An electrode is an electrical conductor used to make contact with a nonmetallic part of a circuit; Okado indirectly discloses this property in the structure circuit.

Accordingly, the rejection is still proper and thus maintained.

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,389 Page 7

Art Unit: 2838

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ARUN WILLIAMS whose telephone number is (571)272-9765. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs, 6:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Akm Ullah can be reached on 571-272-23612208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arun Williams Examiner Art Unit 2838

/A. W./ Examiner, Art Unit 2838

> /Bao Q. Vu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2838 July 1, 2008